Interview Summary	Application No	•	Applicant(s)	•
	09/105,528	.528 KNOWLES, NICHO		
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Uyen T Le		2171	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):			
(1) <u>Uyen T Le</u> .	(3)			
(2) Gregory M. Doudnikoff (Reg. No. 32,847).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 27 May 2003.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's	representative	.]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		·	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		-		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g)□ was not read	ched. h)⊠ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the generached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner question</u> where the claimed object model is defined in the specific to the examiner shortly.	ns which figure illus	trates the cla	imed object mo	odel and
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amen			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requ	the last Office action OFILE A STATEM	n has already ENT OF THE	been filed, API SUBSTANCE (PLICANT IS
·				
			,	
·				
,				
•			•	
·				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		aminer's signs	ature, if require	
, massimoni to a signou omoc delicit.	L^	annior o orgine	, ii require	~

. . . .